

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/500,930	VAARALA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AFSHAWN TOWFIGHI	2469	

All Participants:

(1) AFSHAWN TOWFIGHI.

(2) Rolf Fasth.

Date of Interview: 19 January 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/A. T./
Examiner, Art Unit 2469

Status of Application: RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION ENTERED

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:00pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's representative and informed him of possible allowable subject matter that was found and to contact the examiner as soon as possible. Examiner and applicant agreed to send the final office action, and speak after the applicant's representative has spoken with the client and received the examiner's action.